UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,817	03/25/2004	Tan-Loc Pham	SPINE 3.0-421	7596
530 7590 01/29/2007 LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,			EXAMINER	
KRUMHOLZ	& MENTLIK		FRIDIE JR, WILLMON	
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3722	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MO	NTHS	01/29/2007	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/808,817	PHAM ÉT AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Willmon Fridie	3722
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHICI - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISCISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deenod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dispatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	i i		
2a)⊠ : 3)⊟ :	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 O</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition	on of Claims		
5)□ ( 6)⊠ ( 7)⊠ (	Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the application. a) Of the above claim(s) <u>28-47</u> is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5, 8-18 and 20-27</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>6,7 and 19</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	n from consideration.	
Application	on Papers		
9)	the specification is objected to by the Examine the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ A a)⊠ 1 2	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priorical deposition from the International Bureause the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attach	2		
2) Notice 3) Informa	s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 23 recites the limitation "indicia" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 24 recites the limitation " reference marks " in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5,8-18 and 20-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by George.

George discloses a cutting apparatus comprising: a first mandrel configured to support a substantially cylindrical spinal implant; and a cutting blade (162) moveable from a first position at which spaced from spinal implant supported on first mandrel (12) to a second position at which it is in cutting engagement with the spinal implant; wherein the spinal implant is configured be rotated with respect the cutting blade; wherein the first mandrel is configured to support a hollow spinal implant such that the spinal implant is slidably mounted on the first mandrel (12). The first mandrel appears to be inherently

Art Unit: 3722

detachable from the apparatus to permit loading and removal of the spinal implant on the mandrel. 5. Also disclosed is a means for rotating the first mandrel (not numbered). With respect to claims 10,11,19 and 22, applicant's attention is directed to column 2, lines 52-72. George discloses the use of reference marks and indicia.

Further the claimed intended use of a "spinal implant and an "intervertable spacing measurement from a caliper" have not been given any patentable weight, since a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6,7 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/27/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the specific structure of the elements that allow the detachably) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification,

Art Unit: 3722

limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Further, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3722

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Willmon Fridie whose telephone number is 571 272 4476. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571 272 4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

wf

WILLMON FRIDIE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER